This article was downloaded by: On: 28 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Dixon, M. and Atwood, R. M.(1977) 'Excess number and charge functions in liquids', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 6: 3, 189 — 199

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319107708084139 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319107708084139>

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

*Phys. Chem. Liq.,* **1977, Vol. 6, pp. I 89** - **199**  @ **Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Ltd., 1977 Printed in Great Britain** 

## **Excess Number and Charge Functions in Liquids**

M. **DIXON and R.** M. **ATWOOD** 

*The J. J. Thomson Physical Laboratory. The University, Reading RG6 2AF* 

*(Received September* **7,** *1976)* 

**Definitions for the excess number and charge functions arc given. They are used to show how the structure of a liquid about a reference particle differs from the average values. Some features of the structure are illustrated more clearly by this representation than by the radial distribution**  functions.

The mean number of particles contained within a sphere of radius, R, about a reference particle is given by

$$
N(R) = 4\pi n \int_0^R r^2 g(r) dr \qquad (1)
$$

where n is the number density and *g(r)* is the pair distribution function. The use of *N(R)* has **been** commended by Hutchinson **et** *al.'* for discussions which involve short range order in the liquid state and its application to the freezing transition showed it to be a more useful function than *g(r)* for that purpose. In this letter we suggest that it is also worthwhile to consider the excess number of particles in a sphere of radius, R, about a reference particle defined by

$$
\delta N(R) = 1 + 4\pi n \int_0^R r^2 (g(r) - 1) \mathrm{d}r \to S(0) \neq 0 \qquad R \to \infty \tag{2}
$$

This measures by how many the number of particles in a sphere of radius, R, exceeds the number corresponding to the number density of the system. In the limit as  $R \to \infty$ ,  $\delta N(R)$  reduces to the zero wavevector limit of the structure factor,  $S(0)$ .  $\delta N(R)$  for a potential of the form of the inverse twelfth power<sup>2</sup> is shown in Figure  $I(a)$ . It is clear that the disturbance of the structure caused by the reference particle at the origin is very long ranged with the

envelope of the curve decaying only slowly to its asymptotic value of  $S(0)$  = 0.018.  $(g(r) - 1)$  for  $r/\sigma \approx 6.0$  is of order  $10^{-3}$ , where  $\sigma$  is the collision diameter of the particle, but there is still present a considerable amount of physically significant information which is more readily seen from  $\delta N(R)$ . In particular it shows why it is not possible to obtain the structure factor by Fourier transforming a truncated pair distribution function and why it is not a good



FIGURE 1  $\delta N(R)$  for monatomic systems. Abscissa in units of the collision diameter, (a) **Inverse twelfth power;**  $n = 1.06$ **.** 

function to attempt to extrapolate. The long range disturbance must arise primarily from packing effects since the potential has effectively decayed to zero at  $r/\sigma = 2$ , and the direct correlation function is of similar range to the potential. The maxima in  $\delta N(R)$  occur when  $(g(r) - 1)$  changes from positive to negative and the minima occur when it changes from negative to positive. The presence of a particle at the origin excludes other particles from approaching much closer than  $\sigma$ , leading to a rapid decrease in  $\delta N(R)$  from  $+ 1$  for  $R = 0$  to  $-2.2$  for  $R = 0.9\sigma$ . Once R is near  $\sigma$  it is possible for other particles to cluster round the reference particle and so  $\delta N(R)$  increases sharply to 2.1. Each of these particles has its own exclusion sphere which forces  $\delta N(R)$  to go negative and each has its own co-ordination sphere which



FIGURE 1  $\delta N(R)$  for monatomic systems. Abscissa in units of the collision diameter, (b) Lennard Jones;  $n = 0.88$ .

leads to another positive value of  $\delta N(R)$ . In this manner the disturbance is propagated over very long ranges.

Features similar to those discussed above are exhibited by a wide range of monatomic liquids. Liquid argon, liquid rubidium, and a classical one component plasma fall into very different catagories of monatomic liquid and yet the molecular dynamics simulations of Verlet<sup>3</sup>, Rahman.<sup>4</sup> and Hansen<sup>5</sup> all yield  $\delta N(R)$  which have similar features to those noted for the inverse twelfth power potential; see Figure ](a-d). **It** is clear that the disturbance measured by  $\delta N(R)$  is very considerable at distances comparable to those used in simulations. Using a procedure devised **by** Dixon and Hutchinson<sup>6</sup> it is possible to obtain the structure factor for short ranged potentials



FIGURE 1  $\delta N(R)$  for monatomic systems. Abscissa in units of the collision diameter, (c) liquid rubidium;  $n = 0.90$ .

from molecular dynamics simulations by transforming from the pair distribution function to the direct correlation function and extrapolating that.

For binary ionic melts, charge ordering as well as packing effects contri**bute** to determining the excess number of particles. We can generalise Eq. (2) to obtain

$$
\delta N_{\alpha\beta}(R) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + 4\pi n_{\beta} \int_0^R r^2(g(r) - 1) \mathrm{d}r \alpha, \beta = +, - \tag{3}
$$

where  $\delta N_{\alpha\beta}(R)$  is the partial excess number of particles of type  $\beta$  in a sphere of radius,  $R$ , if a particle of type  $\alpha$  is the reference particle. For the binary liquid



FIGURE 1  $\delta N(R)$  for monatomic systems. Abscissa in units of the collision diameter, (d) Classical one component plasma;  $n = 0.24$ .

 $n_{+} + n_{-}$  corresponds to *n* for the monatomic liquid and consequently the fluctuating part of Eq. (3) occurs with a reduced scale factor in comparison with Eq. (2). We show in Figure 2(a) the  $\delta N_{\alpha\beta}(R)$  obtained by Dixon and Sangster' from a simulation of molten sodium iodide using a polarisable model of the ions. Figure 2(b) gives the corresponding rigid ion model data. In that work it was apparent that  $q_{++}(r)$  was different from  $q_{--}(r)$  for both models for small *r* but at large *r* the difference was less conspicuous. That separation is much more clearly demonstrated if  $\delta N_{++}(R)$  and  $\delta N_{-+}(R)$  are compared. The long ranged nature of the difference between the distribution of positive ions about positive ions and of negative ions about negative ions is



**FIGURE 2**  $\delta N_{\text{ref}}(R)$  for molten sodium iodide  $n_{+} = n_{-} = 0.34$ . Abscissa in Angstroms; collision diameter =  $3.194 \text{ Å} \equiv \sigma_{++}$ , (a) Polarisable ion model. \*\*\* **indicates**  $\delta N_{++}$ , + + + **indicates**  $\delta N_{++}$ , - - - **indicates**  $\delta N_{--}$ .

shown better in this representation. The conclusion of Dixon and Sangster that the introduction of polarisation into the model increased the difference between  $g_{++}(r)$  and  $g_{--}(r)$  is more clearly visible from  $\delta N_{++}(R)$  and  $\delta N$ <sub>--</sub>(R). The amplitudes of oscillation of the  $\delta N_{\alpha\beta}(R)$  do not appear to be decaying at all within the distance accessable to a molecular dynamics simulation indicating that the disturbance introduced by a charged reference particle in an ionic melt is very long ranged indeed. In a low density simulation of molten potassium iodide the envelope of oscillations did show a tendency to decay. Using a straightforward argument based upon the overall electrical neutrality of the molten alkalide halide, Abramo *et al.*<sup>8</sup> have shown



**FIGURE 2**  $\delta N_{\text{sd}}(R)$  for molten sodium iodide  $n_{+} = n_{-} = 0.34$ . Abscissa in Angstroms; **collision diameter** =  $3.194 \text{ Å} \equiv \sigma_{+-}$ , (b) Rigid ion model. \*\*\* **indicates**  $\delta N_{+}$ , + + + **indicates**  $\delta N_{++}$ , - - - **indicates**  $\delta N_{-}$ .

that  $S_{++}(0) = S_{+-}(0) = S_{--}(0)$  and that each of these is related to the isothermal compressibility. Consequently the oscillations must decay. The simulation results suggest that the disturbance retains its charge ordering over a very long range. It appears that the maxima in the  $\delta N_{AB}(R)$  occur at a slightly lower value of R than the associated minima. It is useful to consider

four linear combinations of the  $\delta N_{\alpha\beta}(R)$ 

$$
\delta N_{+}(R) = \delta N_{++}(R) + \delta N_{+ -}(R) \tag{4}
$$

$$
\delta N_{-}(R) = \delta N_{+-}(R) + \delta N_{--}(R) \tag{5}
$$

$$
\delta Q_{+}(R) = \delta N_{++}(R) - \delta N_{+-}(R) \tag{6}
$$

$$
\delta Q_{-}(R) = \delta N_{+-(R)} - \delta N_{--(R)} \tag{7}
$$

 $\delta N_a(R)$  gives the excess number of particles surrounding a reference ion of type  $\alpha$ . The charge ordering ensures that as  $\delta N_{aa}(R)$  increases that  $\delta N_{ab}(R)$ decreases and vice versa. Consequently the fluctuations of the  $\delta N_a(R)$  have a **small amplitude and are considerably less than for the monatomic systems.** 



--- **indicates iodide ion is reference ion** 

Figure 3(a and b) show  $\delta N_+$  and  $\delta N_-$  for the polarisable model and the rigid ion model respectively. For the polarisable model  $\delta N_+$  and  $\delta N_-$  are identical up to 3.2 **A.** The curves then change phase between 3.6 **A** and 7.0 A with the change from regular oscillations occurring first for  $\delta N$  +. The curves appear to be attempting to establish oscillations which are out of phase although it would require a simulation with a larger number of particles to confirm this. The curves for the rigid ion model are very different both in terms of the position and the height of the peaks. There appears to be an attempt to form the out of phase oscillation of  $\delta N_+$  and  $\delta N_-$  but it is nowhere near as well established as for the polarisable case. We show a Figure 3(c and d) the excess charge **SQ,** surrounding a reference ion of type *a.* For



the polarisable model we have taken the ion to be represented by unit charges centred on the core for the construction of  $\delta Q$ . For both models  $\delta Q_+$  and  $\delta Q_-$  oscillate out of phase: the phase being determined by the sign of the reference ion. The  $\delta Q_{-}$  have larger amplitudes of oscillation than the  $\delta Q_+$ . Electrical neutrality requires that  $\mathbf{L}t_{R-x}$   $Q_a(R) = 0$ . The amplitudes ofoscillation for the rigid ion model are greater than for the polarisable model for both functions.

Thus by using the functions  $\delta N_a$ ,  $\delta N_{a\beta}$ , and  $\delta Q_a$ , we have been able to observe differences in the structure of the ionic melts which were not apparent from consideration of the partial pair radial distribution functions.



**Abscissa in Angstroms** 

+ + + **indicates sodium ion is reference ion** 

--- **indicates iodide ion is reference ion** 



 $++$  + indicates sodium ion is reference ion

 $-$  - indicates iodide ion is reference ion

## **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to Dr. M. **1.** L. Sangster and Dr. P. Hutchinson for useful discussions about the work.

## **References**

- **1.** P. Hutchinson, **M.** Ross, P. Schofield, and W. R. Conkie, *J. Phys. A:* **4, L114 (1971)**
- **2. P.** Hutchinson and W. R. Conkie, *Mol. Phys.,* **24, 567 (1972).**
- **3. L.** Verlet, *Phys. Rev.,* **165, 201 (1968).**
- **4.** A. Rahman, *Phys. Rev.,* **A9, 1667 (1974).**
- **5. J. P.** Hansen, *Phys. Rev.,* **AS. 3096 (1973).**
- **6. M.** Dixon and P. Hutchinson, *Mol. Php.* **33, 1663, 1977.**
- **7. M.** Dixon and **M. J. L.** Sangster, *J. Phys. C:* **9, 909 (1976).**
- **8. M.** C. Abramo, M. Parrinello, and M. P. Tosi, *J. Nonmetals,* **2, 57 (1974).**